

**STATE OF MINNESOTA
BEFORE THE
MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

121 7th Place East, Suite 350
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101-2147

**LeRoy Koppendraye
Marshall Johnson
Ken Nickolai
Thomas Pugh
Phyllis Reha**

**Chair
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner**

In the Matter of the Petition of Excelsior Energy Inc. and Its Wholly-Owned Subsidiary MEP-I LLC For Approval of Terms and Conditions For The Sale of Power From Its Innovative Energy Project Using Clean Energy Technology Under Minn. Stat. § 216B.1694, a Determination That the Clean Energy Technology Is Or Is Likely To Be a Least Cost Alternative Under Minn. Stat. § 216B.1693 and Establishment of the Clean Energy Minimum Under Minn. Stat. § 216B.1693

MPUC Docket No.: _____

**PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF
POWER PURCHASE AGREEMENT,
DETERMINATION THAT
CLEAN ENERGY TECHNOLOGY
IS LIKELY TO BE A
LEAST-COST RESOURCE,
AND ESTABLISHMENT OF
THE CET MINIMUM**

ORGANIZATION OF THE FILING

- I. COVER LETTER**
- II. PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF THE POWER PURCHASE AGREEMENT**
- III. APPENDIX A – MESABA ENERGY PROJECT REPORT TO THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**
- IV. APPENDIX B – PROCEDURAL AND FILING INFORMATION (including Service List)**

APPENDIX A



MESABA ENERGY PROJECT REPORT TO THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S

VOLUME I

SECTION I – PUBLIC INTEREST DETERMINATION

A. THE MESABA PROJECT WILL PROVIDE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BENEFITS TO THE STATE.....	2
B. THE MESABA PROJECT WILL USE AN ABUNDANT DOMESTIC FUEL SOURCE.....	8
C. THE PRICE OF THE MESABA PROJECT’S OUTPUT WILL BE STABLE.....	16
D. THE MESABA PROJECT CAN FACILITATE THE TRANSITION TO A HYDROGEN ECONOMY	21
E. THE MESABA PROJECT WILL SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCE EMISSIONS COMPARED TO OTHER SOLID FUEL TECHNOLOGIES.....	25

SECTION II – LEAST COST DETERMINATION

A. IGCC IS OR IS LIKELY TO BE A LEAST-COST RESOURCE	2
B. THE COMMISSION MUST DETERMINE THE APPLICABLE CEM PERCENTAGE	13
C. IT IS NOT CONTRARY TO THE PUBLIC INTEREST FOR THE PROJECT TO SUPPLY THE CEM	21

SECTION III – COST ANALYSIS AND COMPARISON

A. MESABA PROJECT – DIRECT PRICE OF ELECTRICITY.....	3
B. SCPC PLANT – DIRECT PRICE OF ELECTRICITY.....	8
C. DIRECT COST COMPARISON – MESABA PROJECT TO SCPC PLANT (PVRR)	9
D. COST COMPARISON INCLUDING MINNESOTA EXTERNALITY VALUES – MESABA PROJECT TO SCPC PLANT (PVSC).....	10
E. COST COMPARISON WITH PM _{2.5} – IGCC TO SCPC PLANT (PVSC)	12

F. QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS OF OTHER COST CONSIDERATIONS.....	13
--	-----------

EXHIBITS TO VOLUME I

- EXHIBIT A – EPC CONSORTIUM LETTER
- EXHIBIT B – UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA DULUTH’S ANALYSIS OF THE MESABA PROJECT’S ECONOMIC BENEFITS
- EXHIBIT C – FTI CONSULTING’S REPORT ON THE RISKS ACCOMPANYING THE USE OF NATURAL GAS FOR POWER GENERATION
- EXHIBIT D – ICF’S REPORT ON THE RELATIVE HEALTH BENEFITS OF IGCC
- EXHIBIT E – LETTERS IN SUPPORT OF THE MESABA ENERGY PROJECT FROM MINNESOTA POLICYMAKERS
- EXHIBIT F – FLUOR REPORT
- EXHIBIT G – FLUOR ADDENDUM

VOLUME II

SECTION IV – PROJECT OVERVIEW

A. PROPOSED PROJECT, DEVELOPER, AND SUPPORT.....	1
B. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT.....	4
C. TECHNOLOGY SELECTION & PROCESS DESCRIPTION.....	31
D. RESOURCE INPUTS.....	52
E. PROJECT DISCHARGES AND PRODUCTS.....	58
F. POLLUTION PREVENTION, RECYCLING AND REUSE PLANS.....	67
G. PROJECT SCHEDULE AND DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT PHASES.....	70
H. LABOR AND CONSTRUCTION MANPOWER REQUIREMENTS.....	78
I. TRANSMISSION INFRASTRUCTURE REQUIREMENTS.....	80
J. NATURAL GAS PIPELINE ROUTING.....	92
K. WATER RESOURCES.....	100
L. FUEL SUPPLY STRATEGY.....	106
M. HUMAN HEALTH BENEFITS ASSOCIATED WITH OPERATION OF THE MESABA ENERGY PROJECT.....	117
N. PROJECT FINANCING PLAN.....	130

EXHIBITS TO VOLUME II

- EXHIBIT H – ICF CONSULTING’S DRAFT TRANSMISSION REPORT

- EXHIBIT I – FITCH IGCC REPORT

VOLUME III

SECTION V – PPA

SECTION VI – PPA SUMMARY

**SECTION VII – COMPENDIUM OF RECENT PUBLICATIONS
CONFIRMING IGCC’S PUBLIC INTEREST BENEFITS**

A. OVERVIEW OF SUPPORT FOR INTEGRATED GASIFICATION COMBINED CYCLE TECHNOLOGY	1
B. CHARACTERISTIC QUOTES	4
C. TABLE OF PUBLICATIONS ATTACHED TO THIS PETITION	13